











- Justice Stephen Breyer: "ERISA's fiduciary duty is derived from the common law of trusts, which provides that a <u>trustee has a continuing duty</u> – separate and <u>apart from the duty to</u> <u>exercise prudence in selecting investments at the outset</u> – to monitor, and remove imprudent, trust investments."
- The fact that the funds were materially equivalent was important the focus was on the fees associated with the funds that could materially effect a participant's internal rate of return.



## What the Supreme Court did tell us...

- There is a difference in fiduciary duties to select funds and to monitor.
- · Fiduciaries do have ongoing duty to monitor investments
- The statute of limitations begins when the breach of duty to monitor the fund occurs. (But note the next slide...)

10













- All advisors would have to clearly reveal all fees paid by investments, including revenue sharing and commissions.
- The investment advisor can set compensation practices, but must act in the "best interest" of the plan participant and clearly disclose any potential conflicts of interest, like hidden termination fees.
- Advisors who are now fiduciaries could be sued by plan sponsors and participants as well as the DOL.

16



 In November, 2014, MassMutual agreed to pay \$9.475 million to retirement plan participants to settle a suit that claimed it breached its fiduciary responsibility when it got revenue-sharing payments from investment advisors and mutual fund companies.

ClearPoint Financial

- In December, Nationwide Life Insurance and its affiliate, Nationwide Financial Services, settled a \$140 million lawsuit that claimed that the revenue-sharing payments Nationwide received from several mutual fund companies violated ERISA.
- Also in December, Lockheed Martin settled for \$62 million a class action claiming that the company offered investment options in its retirement plan that had excessive fees.
- Nationwide, MassMutual and Lockheed Martin deny any wrongdoing.























		e negative percepti	ons of reenrollment exist. If, like
			rticipants, focus on the facts
	Perception	Reality	Consideration
	Participants will resist change	Employees want and appreciate your help	Most plan sponsors who reenroll participants find that resistance is less of an obstacle than anticipated
2	Reenrollment is too difficult	Reenrollment doesn't have to be painful	Reenrollment often doesn't create much more administrative work beyond restructuring an investment menu
3	Reenrollment will be costly	Costs can be offset by savings and by tailoring plan design	In the case of high staff turnover, a plan sponsor may choose to auto enroll employees after six months of tenure
1	Communicating change is expensive & time consuming	Communication can be cost effective & clear-cut	If reenrollment coincides with a change in the plan lineup or design, plan sponsors already need to communicate to participants. Leverage these efforts without adding significant costs
5	I can handle reenrollment myself	Your recordkeeper & investment managers can help	If done correctly, reenrollment has the potential to significantly increase participation rates, so it is critical to enlist expert help
5	Market conditions are unfavorable. I'll wait until they change	When it comes to reenrollment, there's no time like the present	The benefits participants receive from their plan are long lasting – and easily exceed any potential temporary losses related to movement in or out of an investment at an inopportune time













